



PATENT
Attorney Docket No.: 16CT02141

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Jerome Knoplioch et al. :
Serial No.: 10/723,972 : Art Unit: 2624
Filed: November 26, 2003 : Examiner: Tabatabai, Abolfazl
For: HIGHER-ORDER MOMENT-BASED :
IMAGE PROJECTION METHOD AND :
IMAGE PROCESSING APPARATUS :

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL TO
THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS**

Express Mail Label Number: EV 918279934 US

Date of Mailing: April 04, 2007

I certify that documents listed below:

- Issue Fee Transmittal (1 page), duplicate
- Fee Address Indication Form (1 page)
- Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance (2 pages)
- Return receipt postcard

are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on the date indicated above in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Phillip A. Shipley
Registration No. 51,357
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600
St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740
314-621-5070



Express Mail No.: EV 918279934 US

PATENT
16CT02141

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Jerome Knoplioch et al.

Serial No.: 10/723,972

Filed: November 26, 2003

For: HIGHER-ORDER MOMENT-BASED IMAGE
PROJECTION METHOD AND IMAGE
PROCESSING APPARATUS

:
: Art Unit: 2624
:
: Examiner: Tabatabai, Abolfazl
:
:
:

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE


Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313

The following comments are in response to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowability dated February 26, 2007.

Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance because such statements may have unintentionally introduced some ambiguities in what was otherwise a very concise and thorough examination of the claims of this patent application. While Applicants believe that the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in the features paraphrased in the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance.

Also, reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the Examiner's reasons for allowing a claim or claims (see 37 CFR §1.104(e)). In the present patent application, Applicants believe the record as a whole makes the reasons for allowance clear and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted.

Respectfully submitted,



Phillip A. Shipley
Registration No. 51,357
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600
St. Louis, Missouri 63102-2740
(314) 621-5070